

1 ISAACS FRIEDBERG & LABATON LLP  
2 Mark Labaton (Bar No. 159555)  
3 mlabaton@iflcounsel.com  
4 555 South Flower Street, Suite 4250  
5 Los Angeles, California 90071  
6 Telephone: (213) 929-5550  
7 Facsimile: (213) 955-5794

6 MOTLEY RICE LLC  
7 Gregg S. Levin (*pro hac vice*)  
8 glevin@motleyrice.com  
9 28 Bridgeside Boulevard  
10 Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina 29464  
11 Telephone: (843) 216-9000  
12 Facsimile: (843) 216-9450

LABATON SUCHAROW LLP  
Jonathan Gardner (*pro hac vice*)  
jgardner@labaton.com  
140 Broadway  
New York, New York 10005  
Telephone: (212) 907-0700  
Facsimile: (212) 818-0477

11 *Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff Institutional Investor Group*  
12 *and Co-Lead Counsel for the Settlement Class*

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
14 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
15 SOUTHERN DIVISION

16 IN RE HEWLETT-PACKARD ) Case No. SACV 11-1404 AG (RNBx)  
17 COMPANY SECURITIES )  
18 LITIGATION ) **ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF**  
19 ) **ALLOCATION**  
20 )  
21 ) Judge: Hon. Andrew J. Guilford  
22 ) Dept.: Courtroom 10D  
23 ) Hearing Date: September 15, 2014  
24 ) Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m.  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )

1 THIS MATTER having come before the Court on the motion of Lead  
2 Plaintiffs Arkansas Teacher Retirement System, Union Asset Management  
3 Holding AG, Labourers' Pension Fund of Central and Eastern Canada, LIUNA  
4 National (Industrial) Pension Fund, and LIUNA Staff & Affiliates Pension Fund,  
5 for final approval of the proposed class action Settlement and approval of the Plan  
6 of Allocation; the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had  
7 herein and otherwise being fully informed;

8 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

9 1. Pursuant to and in compliance with Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of  
10 Civil Procedure, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate  
11 notice was directed to persons and entities who are Settlement Class Members,  
12 advising them of the Plan of Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a  
13 full and fair opportunity was accorded to persons and entities who are Settlement  
14 Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.

15 2. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula in the Plan of  
16 Allocation for the calculation of the claims of Authorized Claimants that is set  
17 forth in the Notice of Pendency of Class Action and Proposed Class Action  
18 Settlement and Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses (the "Notice")  
19 disseminated to Settlement Class Members, provides a fair and reasonable basis  
20 upon which to allocate the net settlement proceeds among Settlement Class  
21 Members. The purported objection to the Plan of Allocation is hereby overruled.

22 3. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation set  
23 forth in the Notice is, in all respects, fair and reasonable and the Court hereby  
24 approves the Plan of Allocation.  
25  
26  
27  
28

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: September 15, 2014



---

ANDREW J. GUILFORD  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28